Marking rubric for Math 402W warm-up project

A. Content Problem introduction and motivation are discussed thoroughly, and in an interesting manner. (5%) Main goals of the project are stated clearly. (10%) Mathematical model is presented thoroughly, and includes clear definition of variables, and sufficient discussion of constraints and objectives. (15%) Results and interpretation of the results are complete and scientifically accurate. (10%) Recommendations for improvements and further work are proposed and worthwhile. (10%) B. In-class Presentation Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the audience. (5%)	Group members:	
Problem introduction and motivation are discussed thoroughly, and in an interesting manner. (5%) Main goals of the project are stated clearly. (10%) Mathematical model is presented thoroughly, and includes clear definition of variables, and sufficient discussion of constraints and objectives. (15%) Results and interpretation of the results are complete and scientifically accurate. (10%) Recommendations for improvements and further work are proposed and worthwhile. (10%) B. In-class Presentation Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%)	Student:	
Main goals of the project are stated clearly. (10%) Mathematical model is presented thoroughly, and includes clear definition of variables, and sufficient discussion of constraints and objectives. (15%) Results and interpretation of the results are complete and scientifically accurate. (10%) Recommendations for improvements and further work are proposed and worthwhile. (10%) B. In-class Presentation Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
Mathematical model is presented thoroughly, and includes clear definition of variables, and sufficient discussion of constraints and objectives. (15%) Results and interpretation of the results are complete and scientifically accurate. (10%) Recommendations for improvements and further work are proposed and worthwhile. (10%) B. In-class Presentation Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
ables, and sufficient discussion of constraints and objectives. (15%) Results and interpretation of the results are complete and scientifically accurate. (10%) Recommendations for improvements and further work are proposed and worthwhile. (10%) B. In-class Presentation Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the	Main goals of the project are stated clearly. (10%)	
Recommendations for improvements and further work are proposed and worthwhile. (10%) B. In-class Presentation Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
B. In-class Presentation Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. Questions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
tions are answered appropriately. (10%) Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%) The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the		
	Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%)	

C. Quality of Writen Report	
Writing style is appropriate for a scientific report. (5%)	
Ideas are presented clearly and logically. (5%)	
For group stical analysis and numetuation among (507)	
Few grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors. (5%)	
The paper is well-formatted. (5%)	
D. Individual Participation (1987)	
Individual participation and contribution. (10%)	
Total grade:	
E. General comments (if any)	