Marking rubric for Math 208W final project

Group members.	
Student:	
A. Content Problem introduction and motivation are discussed thoroughly, and in an interest-	
ing manner. (5%)	
A suitable dataset for the problem is identified and described. (5%)	
A suitable dataset for the problem is identified and described. (570)	
The mathematical model is presented thoroughly, and includes clear definition of variables, and sufficient discussion of constraints and objectives. (10%)	
There are scientific references that provide context for the model, including theoretical background and related applications. (5%)	
The modelling and solution techniques proposed are neither trivial, nor intractable, and are Operations Research techniques. (10%)	
Results and interpretation of the results are complete and scientifically accurate. (5%)	
The solution proposed makes sense. The report includes appropriate analysis of the obtained solution, conclusions and recommendations for improvements (10%)	

Live presentation is well prepared, accurate, and professionally delivered. (Cameras are turned on.) Questions are answered appropriately. (5%)	
oras are various sin) Questions are answered appropriately. (670)	
Overheads are clear, well-formatted, and have few errors. (5%)	
The presentation highlights critical aspects of the report, and is suitable for the	
audience. (5%)	
C. Quality of Written Report	
Writing style is appropriate for a scientific report. (5%)	
Ideas are presented clearly and logically with an appropriate level of detail. (5%)	
The paper is written in LATEX, well formatted, and contains few grammatical,	
spelling and punctuation errors. (5%)	
D. Individual Participation	
Individual participation and contribution. (20%)	
Total grade:	
Toval Stade.	

E. General comments (if any)